



Feedback Analysis Report

2021-22

Students Feedback Analysis Report 2021-22

Analysis of student feedback about syllabus

Programme: UG & PG


Total Number Responses: 48

Sr.	Questions	Response									
No.	Questions	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Total					
1.	Q-1	30	10	8	0	48					
2.	Q-2	19	19	10	0	48					
3.	Q-3	28	13	7	0	48					
4.	Q-4	23	22	1	2	48					
5.	Q-5	25	20	1	2	48					

Q-1: Whether current syllabus address the local needs of the society.

- Q-2: Whether it meets the applied aspects related to industry.
- Q-3: Whether the curriculum is based on entrepreneurship development skill.
- Q-4: Whether it promotes environmental awareness.

Q-5: Whether it improves overall personality.



Analysis of Teachers feedback about syllabus

Programme: UG & PG

Total Number Responses: 37

Sr.	Questions	Response										
No.	`	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Total						
1.	Q-1	28	06	03	00	37						
2.	Q-2	11	25	01	00	37						
3.	Q-3	12	25	00	00	37						
4.	Q-4	27	08	02	00	37						
5.	Q-5	30	6	01	00	37						


Q-1: Whether current syllabus address the local needs of the society.

Q-2: Whether it meets the applied aspects related to industry.

Q-3: Whether the curriculum is based on entrepreneurship development skill.

Q-4: Whether it promotes environmental awareness.

Q-5: Whether it improves overall personality.



Analysis of Employers feedback about syllabus


Programme: UG & PG

Total Number Responses: 03

Sr. No.	Questions					
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Total
1.	Q-1	01	01	00	01	03
2.	Q-2	01	01	01	00	03
3.	Q-3	01	02	00	00	03
4.	Q-4	01	01	01	00	03
5.	Q-5	01	01	01	00	03

Q-1: Whether current syllabus address the local needs of the society.

- Q-2: Whether it meets the applied aspects related to industry.
- Q-3: Whether the curriculum is based on entrepreneurship development skill.
- Q-4: Whether it promotes environmental awareness.
- Q-5: Whether it improves overall personality.



Analysis of Students feedback about Library and campus facilities

Programme: UG& PG

Total Number Responses: 225

Sr. No.	Questions		Response										
110.		Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	poor	Total							
1.	Q-1	62	92	52	18	225							
2.	Q-2	62	83	74	6	225							
3.	Q-3	67	86	66	6	225							
4.	Q-4	78	80	58	9	225							
5.	Q-5	67	95	57	6	225							
6.	Q-6	37	100	100 49		225							
7.	Q-7	59	99	58	9	225							
8.	Q-8	59	98	53	15	225							
9.	Q-9	62	83	57	23	225							

Q-1: Nature of Communication of library staff with student

Q-2: Availability of text books, reference books related to subjects

Q-3: Availability of Journals (related to subject, General knowledge) magazines, news papers.

Q-4: Reading hall facility.


Q-5: E-Library facility.

Q-6: Drinking water facility

Q-7: Campus cleanliness.

Q-8: Consumer store facility

Q-9: Canteen Facility.



Analysis of Alumni feedback about College

Programme: UG& PG

Total Number Responses: 120

Sr. No.	Questions	Response										
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Total						
1.	Q-1	74	23	18	05	120						
2.	Q-2	71	31	15	03	120						
3.	Q-3	81	29	10	00	120						
4.	Q-4	78	30	10	02	120						
5.	Q-5	74	24	20	2	120						
6.	Q-6	70	28	20	2	120						
7.	Q-7	69	35	16	00	120						
8.	Q-8	74	30	15	01	120						

Q-1: I feel proud to be the student of this college.

Q-2: The learning I had in the College is useful in my career.

Q-3: The developments in the college in recent years are appreciative.


Q-4: The new courses introduced meet contemporary requirements.

Q-5: College is involving alumni in its activities.

Q-6: The alumni have a role to play in academically strengthening the College further.

Q-7: Formation of Department wise alumni associations is a step in the right direction.

Q-8: The college administration should take initiative to efficiently enroll and strengthen the alumni association.



Analysis of Alumni feedback about syllabus

Programme: UG & PG.


Total Number Responses: 35


Sr.	Questions	Response										
No.	20000000	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Total						
1.	Q-1	20	13	02	00	35						
2.	Q-2	06	18	11	00	35						
3.	Q-3	13	18	03	01	35						
4.	Q-4	18	12	05	00	35						
5.	Q-5	15	17	03	00	35						

Q-1: Whether current syllabus address the local needs of the society.

Q-2: Whether it meets the applied aspects related to industry.

- Q-3: Whether the curriculum is based on entrepreneurship development skill.
- Q-4: Whether it promotes environmental awareness.





Analysis Report Students Feedback Regarding Teacher 2021-2022

Programme: UG & PG Total Number Responses: 470

Sr	otal Number Responses	• - T/U										
Sr No	Name of Teacher	Total	Points	Q1	Q 2	Q3	Q 4	Q5	Q 6	Q7	Q8	Q9
			Poor									
1		10	Average						1			
1.	Dr Kshirsagar S.V.	10	Good	6	7	6	4	1	3	2	2	5
			Excellent	4	3	4	6	9	6	8	8	5
			Poor									
2	Dr. Mahesmalkar	15	Average									
2.	P.R.	15	Good	12	7	7	10	8	11	13	7	8
			Excellent	3	8	8	5	7	4	2	8	7
			Poor									
3.	Mr.Kokate R.Y.	10	Average			2			2			1
з.	MIT. NOKale N. I.	10	Good	4	4	2	3	4	2	4	3	7
			Excellent	6	6	8	7	6	8	6	7	3
			Poor									
4.	Dr. Gulwe R.M.	15	Average									
т.	DI. Guiwe K.M.	13	Good	1	2	3	4	5	4	6	7	8
			Excellent	14	13	12	11	10	11	9	8	7
			Poor									
5.	Dr.Gutte S.L	10	Average									
5.			Good	1	9	1	1	1	2	4	4	1
			Excellent	9	1	9	9	9	8	6	6	9
	Dr.Khakre P.R	15	Poor									
6.			Average	1			1					
••			Good	13	11	12	13	13	12	14	11	11
			Excellent	1	4	3	3	2	1	1	4	4
			Poor									
7.	Dr.Machale R.G.	20	Average									
	Diminucliule 1001		Good	7	8			1	5			
			Excellent	13	12	20	20	19	15	20	20	20
			Poor									
8.	Dr.Maulage S.B.	15	Average			1.0				2	1	
		_	Good	3	1	10	13	11	12	11	10	10
			Excellent	12	14	5	2	4	3	2	4	5
			Poor									
9.	Dr.Gayawad S.V.	20	Average		_			0	_	0	0	10
	U U		Good	6	5	6	7	8	7	8	9	10
			Excellent	14	15	14	13	12	13	12	11	10
			Poor			2			1	1		
10.	Dr.Talekar S.M.	10	Average	2		2	_		1	1		
			Good	3	4	1	5	3	3	2	4	4
			Excellent	7	6	7	5	7	6	7	6	6
		10	Poor					•		•		
11.	Dr.Jagdand S.K.	10	Average			1	1	2	2	2	2	-
			Good	4	3	4	2	2	2	3	1	5

			Excellent	6	7	5	7	6	6	5	7	5
12	Dr.Shelke A.N.	15	Poor	U	/	5	/	U	U	5	/	5
14	DI BIICIKC A.IV.	13	Average									
			Good	3	2	5	6	7	7	5	8	9
			Excellent	12	13	10	9	8	8	10	7	6
13	Mr.Khetri Hanuman	10	Poor	14	15	10	,	0	0	10	· /	U
10	Min Mineti i Munumun	10	Average		1	3	2	3	1	1		
			Good	7	8	5	4	3	2	6	6	6
			Excellent	3	1	2	4	4	7	3	4	4
14	Dr.Sirsat P.B.	10	Poor	C	-	-	-	-			-	-
			Average									
			Good		1	2	1				2	
			Excellent	10	9	8	9	10	10	10	8	10
15	Dr.Raut S.R.	10	Poor									
			Average									
			Good		3							
			Excellent	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
16	Dr.Shrimangale G.W.	10	Poor									
			Average									
			Good		1	1						
			Excellent	10	9	9	10	10	10	10	10	10
17	Dr.Khandat M.S.	14	Poor									
			Average									
			Good	10	14	12	12	13	11	10	9	8
			Excellent	4		2	2	1	3	4	5	6
18	Dr.Nuzhat Sultana	10	Poor									
			Average	2	2	3	2	2	1		1	1
			Good	7	7	6	7	7	5	6	4	5
			Excellent	1		2	1	1	4	4	5	4
19	Dr.Shaikh A.R.	10	Poor	1	1	1	2		2	2	1	
			Average	2	2	4	-	1	-	1	-	
			Good	3	4	2	6	1	6	4	5	3
<u></u>	D. V.I. N.D	15	Excellent	4	3	4	2	7	2	3	4	7
21	Dr.Kale N.P.	15	Poor									
			Average	0	0	0	6	7	0	0	8	5
			Good Excellent	9 6	8 7	8 7	6 9	7 8	9 6	9 6	8 7	5 10
22	Dr.Patil S.S.	20		U	/	/	9	0	U	U	1	10
44	D1.1 aui 3.3.	40	Poor									
			Average Good	13	02	7	13	12	10	7	9	5
			Excellent	13 6	18	13	7	8	10	13	9 11	15
23	Dr.Patil P.V.	15	Poor		10	1.5	,		10	13	1	1.0
-0	~ 1 12 WVII 1 ())		Average			1		1	1	1	1	1
			Good	5	4	2	3	1	1	1	2	1
			Excellent	10	11	12	12	13	12	12	12	13
24	Dr.Ramteke D.D.	11	Poor								 	
			Average		1		1	1	ł	1		
			Good	3	1	1	3	5	2	2	5	2
			Excellent	8	10	10	8	6	9	9	6	9
25	Dr Tonde S.R.	20	Poor	-			-	-	-		-	-
		~		I	1	·		1	I	1	1	

			Average	3				1	T	1	1	
			Good	17	18	14	13	9	12	11	14	18
			Excellent	1	10	5	13	9	6	3	14	10
26	Dr.Khan A.S.	15	Poor	1	1	3	12	,	U	5	1	1
20	DI Man A.S.	10	Average									
			Good	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
			Excellent	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
27	Dr.Pote B.T.	12	Poor	10	10	10	10	10			10	10
			Average							-	-	
			Good	1	2	3	12	12	13	12	12	11
			Excellent	11	11	10	1	1		1	-	1
28	Dr.Chavan A.D.	14	Poor								-	
			Average									
			Good	12	12	10	10	06	10	12	14	14
			Excellent	2	2	4	4	8	4	4	1	1
29	Dr.Shinde A.V.	10	Poor									
			Average									
			Good	5	8	6	8	6	4	4	3	2
			Excellent	5	2	4	2	4	6	6	7	8
30	Dr.Katare B.V.	10	Poor									
			Average									
			Good	6	6	8	7	6	9	8	7	5
L			Excellent	4	4	2	3	4	1	2	3	5
31	Dr. Pokale K.	10	Poor									
			Average						<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
			Good	10	3	8	4	4	5	6	3	2
			Excellent		7	2	6	6	5	4	7	8
32	Gaware S.R.	09	Poor									
			Average	-						<u> </u>		
			Good	6	3	3	4	3	3	5	3	1
22		10	Excellent	3	6	6	5	6	6	4	6	8
33	Dr.Shaikh S.R.	12	Poor	3	4	4	5	4			4	
			Average Good	3 7	4	4 6	5 5	4 3	6 4	6 3	4 6	2 6
			Excellent	2	4	0	<u> </u>	3 3	4	3 1	0	0 1
34	Dr.Syed Imanuddin	16	Poor	4			3	3		+1	4	1
34	DI.Syeu Illianuuulli	10	Average	3	2	3	1	2	3	-	1	
			Good	3	<u>2</u> 10	9	9	<u>2</u> 8	6	10	9	6
			Excellent	<u> </u>	4	4	6	6	7	6	6	10
35	Mr.Pawar S.S.	19	Poor	10	-	-	U	U	-		U	10
55		17	Average									
			Good	11	9	8	13	6	13	12	8	6
			Excellent	8	10	11	06	13	06	7	11	13
36	Mr.Jamdade D.D.	12	Poor		10					+	<u> </u>	+
			Average	1	1		1	1	†	1	†	+
			Good	2	2	2	4	4	2	6	6	2
			Excellent	10	10	10	8	8	10	6	6	10
37	Dr.Joshi S.M.	09	Poor					Ť		Ť	Ť	
				1	1	1	-	1	+	4	4	+
57			Average									

			Excellent	4	1	2	1	1	1	3	4	4
38	Dr.Shete N.P.	12	Poor									
			Average									
			Good	2	2	4	3	4	2	2	4	3
			Excellent	10	10	8	9	8	10	10	8	9
39	Dr. Jadhav S.S.	12	Poor									
			Average									
			Good	2	2	4	3	4	2	2	4	3
			Excellent	10	10	8	9	8	10	10	8	9

Q1-Ability to bring conceptual clarity and promotion of thinking ability by teacher.

Q2-Motivation Provided.

Q3-Teachers Communication Skill.

Q4-Teacher Regularity and Punctuality. Q5-Teacher Subject Knowledge.

Q6- Completion and Coverage of Course. **Q7-**Availability of teacher in LAB.

Q8-Teacher's interaction and Guidance outside the class.

Q9-Teacher overall performance.